

NAILED TEN CHRISTIAN MYTHS THAT SHOW JESUS NEVER EXISTED AT ALL

NAILED TEN CHRISTIAN MYTHS THAT SHOW JESUS NEVER EXISTED AT ALL IS A PHRASE THAT ENCAPSULATES A CONTROVERSIAL YET COMPELLING EXAMINATION OF HISTORICAL AND THEOLOGICAL CLAIMS SURROUNDING THE FIGURE OF JESUS CHRIST. THIS ARTICLE DELVES INTO TEN WIDELY HELD CHRISTIAN MYTHS THAT SOME SCHOLARS AND CRITICS ARGUE UNDERMINE THE HISTORICAL EXISTENCE OF JESUS. BY ANALYZING THESE MYTHS, THE CONTENT AIMS TO PRESENT A CRITICAL PERSPECTIVE THAT CHALLENGES TRADITIONAL NARRATIVES AND EXPLORES INCONSISTENCIES IN BIBLICAL ACCOUNTS, HISTORICAL RECORDS, AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE. THE DISCUSSION INCLUDES AN EVALUATION OF TEXTUAL RELIABILITY, MYTHOLOGICAL PARALLELS, AND THE SOCIOPOLITICAL CONTEXT OF EARLY CHRISTIANITY. READERS WILL GAIN INSIGHT INTO WHY CERTAIN ARGUMENTS SUGGEST THAT JESUS MAY BE MORE A SYMBOLIC OR MYTHOLOGICAL FIGURE THAN A HISTORICAL PERSON. THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS SYSTEMATICALLY ADDRESS EACH MYTH, PROVIDING A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE AND INTERPRETATIONS THAT FUEL THIS DEBATE.

- MYTH 1: THE LACK OF CONTEMPORARY HISTORICAL RECORDS
- MYTH 2: THE MIRACULOUS BIRTH AND VIRGIN MARY NARRATIVE
- MYTH 3: THE RESURRECTION AS A HISTORICAL EVENT
- MYTH 4: THE GOSPEL ACCOUNTS AS EYEWITNESS TESTIMONIES
- MYTH 5: THE ABSENCE OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE
- MYTH 6: THE INFLUENCE OF PAGAN MYTHOLOGIES
- MYTH 7: THE ROLE OF PAUL'S EPISTLES IN JESUS' HISTORICITY
- MYTH 8: THE HISTORICITY OF JESUS' CRUCIFIXION
- MYTH 9: THE CONSISTENCY OF JESUS' TEACHINGS ACROSS TEXTS
- MYTH 10: THE EARLY CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY'S BELIEF IN A HISTORICAL JESUS

MYTH 1: THE LACK OF CONTEMPORARY HISTORICAL RECORDS

ONE OF THE MOST FREQUENTLY CITED ARGUMENTS IN THE DISCUSSION ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF JESUS IS THE APPARENT ABSENCE OF CONTEMPORARY HISTORICAL RECORDS. UNLIKE MANY HISTORICAL FIGURES OF ANTIQUITY, THERE ARE NO SURVIVING DOCUMENTS OR INSCRIPTIONS FROM THE LIFETIME OF JESUS THAT EXPLICITLY MENTION HIM. THIS ABSENCE RAISES QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER JESUS WAS A REAL PERSON OR A LATER MYTHOLOGIZED FIGURE. THE PRIMARY SOURCES FOR JESUS' LIFE ARE THE CANONICAL GOSPELS, WRITTEN DECADES AFTER HIS SUPPOSED DEATH, WHICH ARE THEOLOGICAL TEXTS RATHER THAN OBJECTIVE HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS. CRITICS ARGUE THAT IF JESUS HAD BEEN A SIGNIFICANT FIGURE, ESPECIALLY ONE PERFORMING MIRACLES AND ATTRACTING FOLLOWERS, IT IS UNUSUAL THAT ROMAN HISTORIANS AND JEWISH CHRONICLERS OF THE TIME DID NOT RECORD HIS EXISTENCE.

MYTH 2: THE MIRACULOUS BIRTH AND VIRGIN MARY NARRATIVE

THE STORY OF JESUS' VIRGIN BIRTH IS A CORNERSTONE OF CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE BUT IS ALSO VIEWED SKEPTICALLY BY HISTORIANS. THE VIRGIN BIRTH NARRATIVE SHARES SIMILARITIES WITH EARLIER MYTHS AND LEGENDS FROM DIFFERENT CULTURES, WHICH FEATURE DIVINE OR MIRACULOUS BIRTHS. THIS PARALLELISM RAISES THE POSSIBILITY THAT THE STORY IS SYMBOLIC

RATHER THAN FACTUAL. THE INFANCY ACCOUNTS IN THE GOSPELS OF MATTHEW AND LUKE DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY, INDICATING LATER THEOLOGICAL EMBELLISHMENTS RATHER THAN HISTORICAL REPORTAGE. SCHOLARS POINT OUT THAT MIRACULOUS BIRTH STORIES OFTEN SERVE THEOLOGICAL PURPOSES TO EMPHASIZE THE DIVINITY OF A FIGURE RATHER THAN PROVIDE HISTORICAL EVIDENCE.

MYTH 3: THE RESURRECTION AS A HISTORICAL EVENT

THE RESURRECTION OF JESUS IS CENTRAL TO CHRISTIAN FAITH, YET ITS HISTORICITY IS HEAVILY DISPUTED. FROM A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE, RESURRECTION IS CONSIDERED A SUPERNATURAL EVENT THAT CANNOT BE EMPIRICALLY VERIFIED. THE ACCOUNTS OF JESUS RISING FROM THE DEAD ARE FOUND ONLY IN THE NEW TESTAMENT WRITINGS, WHICH WERE COMPOSED DECADES AFTER THE EVENT ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED. THESE TEXTS ARE THEOLOGICAL IN NATURE, AIMING TO INSPIRE FAITH RATHER THAN DOCUMENT HISTORY. SKEPTICS ARGUE THAT THE RESURRECTION NARRATIVE RESEMBLES OTHER MYTHOLOGICAL MOTIFS OF DYING AND RISING GODS ACROSS VARIOUS ANCIENT RELIGIONS, FURTHER CASTING DOUBT ON ITS LITERAL TRUTH.

MYTH 4: THE GOSPEL ACCOUNTS AS EYEWITNESS TESTIMONIES

THE GOSPELS ARE OFTEN PRESENTED AS EYEWITNESS TESTIMONIES OF JESUS' LIFE, BUT THIS CLAIM IS CONTESTED AMONG SCHOLARS. THE TEXTS WERE WRITTEN BY ANONYMOUS AUTHORS DECADES AFTER JESUS' PURPORTED DEATH, AND NO GOSPEL EXPLICITLY CLAIMS TO BE AN EYEWITNESS ACCOUNT. MANY PARTS OF THE GOSPELS CONTAIN CONTRADICTIONS AND INCONSISTENCIES, SUGGESTING THEY ARE COMPILATIONS OF ORAL TRADITIONS AND THEOLOGICAL REFLECTIONS RATHER THAN DIRECT OBSERVATIONS. THE DELAY BETWEEN THE EVENTS AND THE WRITING OF THE GOSPELS OPENS THE POSSIBILITY FOR MYTHMAKING AND LEGEND DEVELOPMENT, UNDERMINING THEIR RELIABILITY AS HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS.

MYTH 5: THE ABSENCE OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE

DESPITE EXTENSIVE ARCHAEOLOGICAL WORK IN THE REGIONS ASSOCIATED WITH JESUS' LIFE, THERE IS NO DIRECT ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE CONFIRMING HIS EXISTENCE. NO ARTIFACTS, INSCRIPTIONS, OR PHYSICAL REMAINS HAVE BEEN UNCOVERED THAT UNEQUIVOCALLY LINK TO JESUS OF NAZARETH. WHILE THE ABSENCE OF EVIDENCE IS NOT NECESSARILY EVIDENCE OF ABSENCE, THE LACK OF MATERIAL CULTURE RELATED TO JESUS CONTRASTS WITH THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SUPPORT FOR OTHER HISTORICAL FIGURES AND EVENTS FROM THE SAME PERIOD. THIS GAP FUELS SKEPTICISM ABOUT WHETHER JESUS WAS A HISTORICAL FIGURE OR A SYMBOLIC CONSTRUCT.

MYTH 6: THE INFLUENCE OF PAGAN MYTHOLOGIES

THE NARRATIVE OF JESUS SHARES STRIKING SIMILARITIES WITH VARIOUS PAGAN MYTHOLOGIES, LEADING SOME SCHOLARS TO ARGUE THAT THE CHRISTIAN STORY IS A SYNCRETISM OF EARLIER MYTHS. FIGURES LIKE HORUS, MITHRAS, AND DIONYSUS ARE SAID TO HAVE BEEN BORN OF VIRGINS, PERFORMED MIRACLES, DIED, AND WERE RESURRECTED. THESE PARALLELS SUGGEST THAT EARLY CHRISTIAN WRITERS MIGHT HAVE ADAPTED EXISTING MYTHOLOGICAL THEMES TO CREATE THE FIGURE OF JESUS. THIS HYPOTHESIS CHALLENGES THE ORIGINALITY AND HISTORICITY OF JESUS, PROPOSING THAT HE IS A COMPOSITE MYTHOLOGICAL CHARACTER RATHER THAN A REAL PERSON.

MYTH 7: THE ROLE OF PAUL'S EPISTLES IN JESUS' HISTORICITY

PAUL'S LETTERS ARE SOME OF THE EARLIEST CHRISTIAN WRITINGS BUT OFFER LIMITED INFORMATION ABOUT THE HISTORICAL JESUS. PAUL FOCUSES PRIMARILY ON THE THEOLOGICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF JESUS' DEATH AND RESURRECTION RATHER THAN BIOGRAPHICAL DETAILS. HE RARELY REFERS TO JESUS' EARTHLY LIFE, TEACHINGS, OR MIRACLES, WHICH RAISES QUESTIONS ABOUT HIS KNOWLEDGE OF A HISTORICAL FIGURE. SOME ARGUE THAT PAUL'S EMPHASIS ON A CELESTIAL CHRIST RATHER THAN AN EARTHLY MAN SUGGESTS THAT THE CONCEPT OF JESUS EVOLVED OVER TIME AND MAY NOT HAVE ORIGINATED FROM A HISTORICAL INDIVIDUAL.

MYTH 8: THE HISTORICITY OF JESUS' CRUCIFIXION

THE CRUCIFIXION OF JESUS IS ONE OF THE FEW EVENTS THAT MOST SCHOLARS AGREE LIKELY OCCURRED HISTORICALLY. HOWEVER, SOME CONTEND THAT THE DETAILS SURROUNDING THE CRUCIFIXION ARE HEAVILY MYTHOLOGIZED. ROMAN CRUCIFIXIONS WERE COMMON, BUT THERE IS LIMITED NON-CHRISTIAN CORROBORATION OF JESUS' EXECUTION. THE GOSPEL NARRATIVES INCLUDE SUPERNATURAL ELEMENTS AND THEOLOGICAL INTERPRETATIONS, WHICH COMPLICATE THE SEPARATION OF FACT FROM FAITH-BASED STORYTELLING. THE CRUCIFIXION STORY'S ROLE IN CHRISTIAN SOTERIOLOGY MIGHT HAVE INFLUENCED ITS SHAPING AS A HISTORICAL EVENT.

MYTH 9: THE CONSISTENCY OF JESUS' TEACHINGS ACROSS TEXTS

THE TEACHINGS ATTRIBUTED TO JESUS IN THE GOSPELS VARY CONSIDERABLY, WITH CONTRADICTIONS AND DIFFERING EMPHASES THAT CHALLENGE THE IDEA OF A COHERENT HISTORICAL FIGURE. DIFFERENT GOSPEL WRITERS PRESENT DIVERGENT PORTRAITS OF JESUS' MESSAGE, ETHICS, AND MISSION. THIS INCONSISTENCY SUGGESTS THAT THE TEACHINGS WERE SHAPED BY VARIOUS COMMUNITIES AND THEOLOGICAL AGENDAS OVER TIME. THE DIVERSITY OF PORTRAYALS UNDERMINES THE NOTION OF A SINGLE HISTORICAL JESUS WITH A UNIFIED MESSAGE AND SUPPORTS THE THEORY THAT THESE TEACHINGS WERE CONSTRUCTED OR ADAPTED FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.

MYTH 10: THE EARLY CHRISTIAN COMMUNITY'S BELIEF IN A HISTORICAL JESUS

IT IS OFTEN ASSUMED THAT THE EARLIEST CHRISTIAN COMMUNITIES BELIEVED IN A HISTORICAL JESUS, BUT SOME SCHOLARS ARGUE OTHERWISE. EARLY FOLLOWERS MIGHT HAVE INITIALLY VIEWED JESUS AS A SPIRITUAL OR MYTHIC FIGURE RATHER THAN A HISTORICAL PERSON. THE DEVELOPMENT OF A BIOGRAPHICAL NARRATIVE COULD HAVE OCCURRED GRADUALLY AS THE MOVEMENT EVOLVED. THE ABSENCE OF EARLY, CONTEMPORANEOUS ACCOUNTS AND THE RELIANCE ON THEOLOGICAL WRITINGS FROM DECADES LATER SUGGEST THAT THE HISTORICITY OF JESUS WAS NOT UNIVERSALLY ACCEPTED OR CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD IN THE FORMATIVE YEARS OF CHRISTIANITY.

SUMMARY OF THE TEN MYTHS

TO CLARIFY THE KEY POINTS ADDRESSED, THE FOLLOWING LIST SUMMARIZES THE TEN MYTHS THAT CHALLENGE THE EXISTENCE OF JESUS AS A HISTORICAL FIGURE:

1. NO CONTEMPORARY HISTORICAL RECORDS MENTION JESUS.
2. THE VIRGIN BIRTH NARRATIVE ALIGNS WITH OLDER MYTHOLOGIES.
3. THE RESURRECTION IS A SUPERNATURAL EVENT WITH NO EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE.
4. THE GOSPELS ARE NOT GENUINE EYEWITNESS ACCOUNTS.
5. ARCHAEOLOGY PROVIDES NO DIRECT EVIDENCE FOR JESUS.
6. CHRISTIAN STORIES SHARE THEMES WITH PAGAN MYTHS.
7. PAUL'S EPISTLES LACK DETAILED JESUS BIOGRAPHICAL DATA.
8. THE CRUCIFIXION STORY IS HEAVILY MYTHOLOGIZED.
9. JESUS' TEACHINGS VARY WIDELY ACROSS TEXTS.
10. EARLY CHRISTIANS MAY NOT HAVE BELIEVED IN A HISTORICAL JESUS.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

WHAT ARE SOME COMMON MYTHS THAT CLAIM JESUS NEVER EXISTED?

COMMON MYTHS INCLUDE THE IDEAS THAT JESUS WAS PURELY A MYTHOLOGICAL FIGURE, THAT ALL GOSPEL ACCOUNTS ARE FABRICATIONS, OR THAT JESUS WAS A COMPOSITE OF EARLIER PAGAN GODS.

HOW DO HISTORIANS GENERALLY VIEW THE EXISTENCE OF JESUS?

MOST HISTORIANS AGREE THAT JESUS OF NAZARETH WAS A HISTORICAL FIGURE, BASED ON MULTIPLE INDEPENDENT SOURCES FROM ANTIQUITY, INCLUDING NON-CHRISTIAN TEXTS.

WHAT EVIDENCE COUNTERS THE CLAIM THAT JESUS NEVER EXISTED?

EVIDENCE INCLUDES REFERENCES TO JESUS IN WRITINGS BY ROMAN HISTORIANS LIKE TACITUS AND JEWISH HISTORIANS LIKE JOSEPHUS, AS WELL AS THE EARLY CHRISTIAN WRITINGS THEMSELVES.

WHY DO SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE JESUS WAS A MYTH?

SOME BELIEVE JESUS WAS A MYTH DUE TO THE SIMILARITIES BETWEEN JESUS' STORY AND EARLIER PAGAN MYTHS, SKEPTICISM ABOUT THE GOSPELS' HISTORICITY, OR MISUNDERSTANDINGS OF HISTORICAL METHODS.

ARE THE GOSPEL ACCOUNTS RELIABLE HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS?

WHILE THE GOSPELS CONTAIN THEOLOGICAL ELEMENTS, HISTORIANS CONSIDER THEM VALUABLE SOURCES THAT REFLECT EARLY CHRISTIAN BELIEFS AND INCLUDE HISTORICAL INFORMATION ABOUT JESUS AND HIS TIME.

WHAT ROLE DO PAGAN MYTHS PLAY IN THE ARGUMENT THAT JESUS NEVER EXISTED?

SOME ARGUE THAT JESUS' STORY BORROWS ELEMENTS FROM PAGAN MYTHS, SUCH AS VIRGIN BIRTH OR RESURRECTION, SUGGESTING THE NARRATIVE WAS BORROWED OR INVENTED RATHER THAN BASED ON A REAL PERSON.

HOW DO SCHOLARS DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN MYTH AND HISTORY IN THE CASE OF JESUS?

SCHOLARS ANALYZE TEXTS CRITICALLY, LOOKING FOR MULTIPLE ATTESTATIONS, CONTEXTUAL CONSISTENCY, AND HISTORICAL PLAUSIBILITY TO DISTINGUISH MYTHOLOGICAL ELEMENTS FROM HISTORICAL FACTS.

IS THERE ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE SUPPORTING JESUS' EXISTENCE?

WHILE THERE IS NO DIRECT ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVIDENCE OF JESUS, ARCHAEOLOGICAL FINDINGS CONFIRM THE EXISTENCE OF PLACES, CUSTOMS, AND PEOPLE MENTIONED IN THE GOSPELS, SUPPORTING THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT.

WHAT IMPACT DOES DEBUNKING MYTHS ABOUT JESUS HAVE ON CHRISTIAN FAITH?

FOR MANY BELIEVERS, HISTORICAL EVIDENCE OF JESUS REINFORCES FAITH, BUT SOME VIEW FAITH AS SEPARATE FROM HISTORICAL PROOF AND FOCUS ON SPIRITUAL SIGNIFICANCE RATHER THAN HISTORICAL DEBATES.

CAN THE ARGUMENT THAT JESUS NEVER EXISTED BE DEFINITELY PROVEN?

NO, ABSOLUTE PROOF IS DIFFICULT IN ANCIENT HISTORY, BUT THE CONSENSUS AMONG SCHOLARS BASED ON AVAILABLE EVIDENCE STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE HISTORICITY OF JESUS.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. *DEBUNKING THE DIVINE: EXPOSING MYTHS ABOUT JESUS' EXISTENCE*

THIS BOOK CRITICALLY EXAMINES POPULAR CLAIMS THAT JESUS NEVER EXISTED, OFFERING HISTORICAL AND TEXTUAL EVIDENCE TO REFUTE SUCH MYTHS. IT DELVES INTO ANCIENT MANUSCRIPTS, ARCHAEOLOGICAL FINDINGS, AND EARLY CHRISTIAN WRITINGS TO DEMONSTRATE JESUS' HISTORICAL PRESENCE. READERS GAIN A CLEARER UNDERSTANDING OF THE SCHOLARLY CONSENSUS ON JESUS' LIFE.

2. *THE JESUS MYTH: SEPARATING FACT FROM FICTION*

FOCUSING ON COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS, THIS BOOK UNPACKS THE TEN MOST PREVALENT MYTHS QUESTIONING JESUS' EXISTENCE. IT PROVIDES A BALANCED ANALYSIS BY COMPARING MYTHICIST THEORIES WITH ESTABLISHED HISTORICAL RESEARCH. THE AUTHOR ENCOURAGES READERS TO EXPLORE THE EVIDENCE THOUGHTFULLY AND CRITICALLY.

3. *IN SEARCH OF THE HISTORICAL JESUS: DEBUNKING MODERN SKEPTICISM*

THIS WORK ADDRESSES MODERN SKEPTICISM SURROUNDING JESUS' HISTORICITY BY REVISITING HISTORICAL RECORDS AND TESTIMONIES FROM EARLY CHRISTIAN AND NON-CHRISTIAN SOURCES. IT HIGHLIGHTS THE FLAWS IN ARGUMENTS THAT DENY JESUS' EXISTENCE AND REINFORCES THE RELIABILITY OF HISTORICAL METHODS. THE BOOK IS ACCESSIBLE TO BOTH SCHOLARS AND GENERAL READERS INTERESTED IN RELIGIOUS HISTORY.

4. *MYTHS UNVEILED: THE TRUTH ABOUT JESUS AND EARLY CHRISTIANITY*

HERE, THE AUTHOR TACKLES WIDESPREAD MYTHS THAT CLAIM JESUS WAS A MYTHICAL FIGURE, SHOWING HOW THESE NARRATIVES EMERGED AND WHY THEY PERSIST. THE BOOK EXPLORES THE CULTURAL AND POLITICAL CONTEXTS THAT FUELED SUCH MYTHS. IT ULTIMATELY AFFIRMS THE HISTORICAL REALITY OF JESUS THROUGH COMPREHENSIVE SCHOLARLY EVIDENCE.

5. *JESUS: FACT OR FICTION? A HISTORICAL INVESTIGATION*

THIS INVESTIGATIVE BOOK REVIEWS THE EVIDENCE SURROUNDING JESUS' LIFE, CONFRONTING THE TEN MYTHS OFTEN CITED BY SKEPTICS. IT INCLUDES ANALYSIS OF BIBLICAL TEXTS, EXTERNAL HISTORICAL SOURCES, AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL DISCOVERIES. THE AUTHOR PRESENTS A COMPELLING CASE FOR JESUS AS A GENUINE HISTORICAL FIGURE.

6. *SHATTERING THE JESUS MYTH: A HISTORIAN'S RESPONSE*

WRITTEN BY A RESPECTED HISTORIAN, THIS BOOK SYSTEMATICALLY DISMANTLES THE ARGUMENTS USED TO DENY JESUS' EXISTENCE. IT SCRUTINIZES THE METHODOLOGY AND SOURCES OF MYTHICIST CLAIMS, REVEALING INCONSISTENCIES AND ERRORS. READERS ARE OFFERED A RIGOROUS DEFENSE OF THE HISTORICAL JESUS GROUNDED IN ACADEMIC RESEARCH.

7. *THE MYTH OF THE NONEXISTENT JESUS: EVIDENCE AND REFUTATION*

THIS BOOK COMPILES AND CRITIQUES THE MAIN ARGUMENTS THAT SUGGEST JESUS NEVER EXISTED, PROVIDING A THOROUGH REFUTATION BACKED BY HISTORICAL DATA. IT ALSO DISCUSSES HOW MYTHICIST THEORIES AFFECT RELIGIOUS AND CULTURAL DISCOURSE. THE AUTHOR EMPHASIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF EVIDENCE-BASED CONCLUSIONS.

8. *JESUS UNDER THE MICROSCOPE: DEBUNKING MYTHS ABOUT HIS EXISTENCE*

BY CLOSELY EXAMINING THE TEN MOST COMMON MYTHS DENYING JESUS' HISTORICITY, THIS BOOK OFFERS DETAILED COUNTERARGUMENTS SUPPORTED BY HISTORICAL AND TEXTUAL ANALYSIS. IT HIGHLIGHTS THE CONTINUITY OF JESUS' STORY IN VARIOUS INDEPENDENT SOURCES. THE BOOK IS DESIGNED TO CLARIFY MISUNDERSTANDINGS AND AFFIRM HISTORICAL FACTS.

9. *FROM MYTH TO HISTORY: CONFIRMING THE EXISTENCE OF JESUS*

THIS WORK TRACES THE TRANSFORMATION OF JESUS FROM A MYTHICAL FIGURE IN SOME THEORIES TO A CONFIRMED HISTORICAL PERSON THROUGH CRITICAL EXAMINATION OF EVIDENCE. IT EXPLORES EARLY CHRISTIAN WRITINGS, ROMAN RECORDS, AND OTHER ANCIENT DOCUMENTS TO BUILD ITS CASE. THE BOOK SERVES AS A RESOURCE FOR THOSE QUESTIONING THE AUTHENTICITY OF JESUS' EXISTENCE.

[Nailed Ten Christian Myths That Show Jesus Never Existed At All](#)

Nailed Ten Christian Myths That Show Jesus Never Existed At All

Related Articles

- [national geographic little kids magazine](#)
- [multiple alleles worksheet answer key](#)
- [nba built by black history](#)

[Back to Home](#)